

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,344	01/09/2001	Pierre Jean Francois Layrolle	04148-00005	8849
7:	590 01/17/2002			
John P. Iwanicki			EXAMINER	
BANNER & W 28th Floor	ITCOFF, LTD.		MICHENER, JEN	NIFER KOLB
28 State Street Boston, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 0	2109		1762	
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

81/						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/757,344	LAYROLLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer Kolb Michener	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cov r sh et w	th the correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community (6) - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (6) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply and the period for reply is specified above. The period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply is specified above. The maximum storm of the period for reply and period for reply is specified above. The period for reply is specifi	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renuication. 10) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON or will. by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>22 O<i>ctober</i> 2001</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 27-38 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	, _ , _ , _ ,					
	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	• •				
11) The proposed drawing correction file		isapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	. for foreign and site and a OF H.O.O.	0.440(a) (d) as (0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	decomposite house because as a six and					
	documents have been received.	polication No. 00/054 549				
<u> </u>	documents have been received in A	· · ·				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lar 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Commence	Dank of Danas No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 09/757,344

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

As necessitated by amendment, the following new objections are made:

1. Claim 37 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 37 depends on itself. Further, there is no antecedent basis for the "second coating". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 27, 28, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kokubo et al '122.

Examiner maintains the rejection.

3. Claims 27-28 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li.

Examiner maintains the rejection.

4. Claims 27-33, and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leitao.

Examiner maintains the rejection of claims 27-33 and 36.

Art Unit: 1762

As necessitated by amendment, newly added claims 37-38 are rejected as being anticipated by Leitao. Leitao teaches a coating composition of "OCP" (table 2), which is octacalcium phosphate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leitao.

Examiner maintains the rejection.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/22/2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the references fail to teach the limitation of the amended independent claim requiring that the coating induce "formation of bone cells from progenitor cells".

Examiner notes that because the references teach the coating limitations required by Applicant, that the coatings of the references must inherently induce formation of bone cells from progenitor cells. If the coating of the Applicant reacts differently in a patient's body than those of the cited references, it must be due to some limitation not present in Applicant's claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,344

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kolb Michener whose telephone number is 703-306-5462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/757,344

Art Unit: 1762

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3599 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jennifer Kolb Michener January 15, 2002

SHRIVE P. BECK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700